U. excavata vs. satur. These two are the same species!
U. satur is not, strictly speaking, a variety of ventricosa, but because of priority it is a good species, and excavata becomes a synonym!
U. claibornensis vs. hydiana. The greatest difference existing here is the lack of rays in claibornensis.
$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { U. beadleana, } \\ \text { U. chickasawensis }\end{array}\right\}$ vs. Askewii. These three species are identical, the varietal differences being no more than the different habitats should demand.

Proptera inflata vs. amphichæna. A suspicious piece of evidence is to be noted in the fact that where one of these species is found, there is a lack of P. lowissima!

However, amphichcna has no wing, even when young and perfect.
U. refulgens and sphæricus. These two species are identical and form a well-marked subspecies characterized by purple nacre.
U. ebenus and crassidens do not grow in the Sabine.

Margaritana complanata is by this find considerably extended down South. They were gravid when taken in November.

## PLANORBIS BICARINATUS AND PLEURODONTE ANGULATA.

## BY E. G. VANATtA.

Some recent studies have shown that the nomenclature of these species is somewhat intricate, and an examination into their history proves that the names in current use cannot be held.

The records bearing on the question follow.
Planorbis bicarinatus Lamarck.
In the Ann. du Mus. Hist. Nat. Paris V, p. 36, 1804, Lamarck describes a fossil under the name Planorbis bicarinata, which was figured on plate 62, fig. 3 of the Annales du Muséum viii, 1806. It was also described in Animaux sans Vertèbres Supp., vii, p. 542, 1822. Deshayes in the Anim. s. Vert. Bassin, Paris, ii, p. 438, 1864, placed this species in Adeorbis.
Planorbis bicarinatus Say (not Lam.)
In the Third American Edition of Nicholson's British Encyclopedia, Philadelphia, 1819, Conchology, pl. 1, f. 4, Say, described

Planorbis bicarinatus from the Delaware River. Sowerby, in The Genera of Shells, Planorbis f. 4, Mar. 30, 1822 , named and figured this shell again as Planorbis bicarinatus. Amos Eaton in the Zool. Text-book, p. 194, 1826, redescribes Say's species of Planurbis under the generic name Helix, but his Helix bicarinutus has nothing to do with Helix bicarinata Sowb., Zool. Jour., 1825, p. $58, \mathrm{pl} .3$, f. 7.

## Helix angulata Rackett (not Burrow.)

Helix angulata Rack., Trans. Linn. Soc. Loudon, xiii, p. 42, pl. 5, f. 1 (read June 1, 1819, published betore 1821), was based on specimens of Planorbis trom Lake Huron, Canada. But this name is preoccupied by Helix angulata Burrow, in the Elements of Conchology, by Rev. E. 1. Burrow, London, 1815, pl. 26, f. 1, which is Pleurodonte acutangula Burr. This name was also supposed to be preoccupied, but Planorbe anguleux Brard, Aun. du Mus. Hist. Nat. xiv, p. 435, pl. 27, f. 23, 24, 1809, was not Latinized by him. It is merely a French name for another shell.

## Planorbis antrosus Conrad.

Described in American Journal of Science and Arts, New Haven, xxv, pt. 2, p. 343, Jan. 1, 1834, from Randon's creek near Claiborne, Alabama, is therefore the first name which can be used for the freshwater shell generally known as Planorbis bicarinatus Say. The next name is Planorbis engonatus Conrad, New Fresh Water Shells of the United States, Appendix, p. ૪, pl. ix, f. \&, Oct., 1835, based upon the same species of shell from Albany, New York. Planorbis angistoma Hald. Monogr. Fresh Water Moll. U. S., no. 7, Planorbis, p. 7, Jan. I, 1844, is according to Mr. Walker, Nautilus, xxiii, p. 5, probably typical controsus. If this is a distinct form Conrad's name is earlier.

Planorbis bicarinatus major Beck.
H. Beck in the lndex Moll., p. 118, 1837, lists the name Planorbis bicarinatus Say, and divides it into two forms: a. major, from the Schuylkill River and refers to Sowb. Genera, iv, f. 4. (Mr. E. R. Sykes in the Proc. Mal. Soc. London, vii, p. 194, Sept., 1906, states that part iv, of Sowb. Gencra was published on March 30, 1822), and to Wood, Index Test. Suppl. vii, 12, Planorbis angulatus Wood.
b. minor, New Jersey, citing with a question Planorbis eburneus Chemn., ix, 1123? from the West Indies, as a synonym.

As Sowerby's and Wood's names both refer to the ypical form of bicarinatus Say, as defined in Mr. Walker's excellent paper (Nautilus, xxiii p. 1. \& p. 21, 1909), the name major Beck becomes synonymous with that form.

The form described by Mr. Walker on p. 5, of the Nautilus xxiii 1909 should be written corrugatus 'Curr.' Wkr.

Pleurodonte acutangula Burrow.
Burrow's name for the shell generally known as Pleurodonte angulata Fér. from Porto Rico, should be used. Part of the synonomy is given below. Pfr. (Monogr. I, p. 197, 1908) thought Burrow's shell was Helicostyla papyracea Brod., a mistake which was perpetuated in Manual of Conchology, ix, p. 219.

Helix acutangula Rev. E. I. Burrow, Elements of Conchology, London, 1815, pp. 183 and 248. Beck. Index Moll. 1837, p. 45, No. 6, Pilsbry, Manual Conch. ix, 1894, pp. 99 and 219.

Helix angulata Burrow, Elem. of Conch. 1815, pl. 26, f. i.; Fér., Hist. Nat. Moll. I, pl. 61, f. 2, (published after 1821 and before 1825). Gray, Ann. Philos. London, n. s. ix, p. 412, 1825. Pfr., Monogr. I, p. 297, 1848. Desh. in Fer., Hist. Nat. Moll. I, p. 343, 1850.

For the rest of the synonomy see Fér., Hist. Nat. Moll. I, p. 343 and Pilsbry, Man. Conch. ix, 1894, p. 99.

Helix angulata Fér., Tabl. Syst. Anim. Moll., Prodrome Gen., 1821 , p. 36 , is a nude name.

## NOTES ON SOME PLIOCENE FOSSILS FROM GEORGIA WITH DESCRIPTIONS OF NEW SPECIES.

BY T. H. ALDRICH.
(Concluded from page 132.)
10. Potamides cancelloides n. sp. Pl. 8, figs. $2,2 a$.

Shell small, whorls about seven or eight, first two smooth, the next three convex and cancellated, the transverse lines strongly raised, generally two in number and nodulous at the intersections.

Body whorl in both specimens carrying four or more transverse lines. Aperture ovate-elongate; canal short twisted.

Length of No. 2a, $3 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~mm}$. Fully grown example is at least 15 mm .

